



Extended formulations for the min-max-min problem with few recourse solutions

Marco Silva, Ayse Nur Arslan and Michael Poss

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

January 29, 2020

Extended formulations for the min-max-min problem with few recourse solutions

Marco Silva¹, Ayşe N. Arslan², Michael Poss³

¹ CEGI, INESCTEC, Porto, Portugal
marco.c.silva@inesctec.pt

² IRMAR, INSA de Rennes, Rennes, France
ayse-nur.arslan@insa-rennes.fr

³ LIRMM, University of Montpellier, CNRS, France
michael.poss@lirmm.fr

Mots-clés : *mathematical programming, robust optimization, min-max-min, decomposition.*

1 Introduction

In this work, we consider the min-max-min problem formalized as

$$\min_{y^k \in Y, k \in [K]} \max_{\xi \in \Xi} \min_{k \in [K]} g(y^k, \xi) \quad (1)$$

where $[K] = \{1, \dots, K\}$, $\Xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a polyhedral set, $g : Y \times \Xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function concave in $\xi \in \Xi$, and $Y \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a finite set. Problem (1) models the situation where the decision maker can prepare the ground for K recourse solutions and choose the best of them upon full knowledge of the uncertain parameters. For instance, if Y contains paths from s to t in a given graph, (1) seeks to prepare K different routes that can be used to evacuate citizens or transport relief supplies in case of a hazardous event [5]. In this work, we propose an extended formulation for the min-max-min problem described in (1) and propose a solution method based on decomposition.

2 Literature review

While several studies (e.g., [5, 6]) have illustrated the practical relevance of problem (1), exact solution algorithms have stayed behind. Two general algorithms have been proposed : [5] reformulates the problem through a Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) formulation involving big- M , and [6] introduces an ad-hoc branch-and-bound algorithm based on generating a relevant subset of scenarios $\Xi' \subseteq \Xi$ and enumerating over their assignment to the K solutions. Unfortunately, these two approaches can hardly solve the shortest path instances proposed by [5] with more than 25 nodes. The approach proposed in [3] had more success with these instances, solving all of them to optimality (up to 50 nodes) in the special case $K = 2$. Yet this latter approach requires g to be linear, Ξ to have a special structure and does not scale up with K . The purpose of this work is to propose a more general algorithm for solving problem (1) to near optimality. To this end, we model problem (1) as a variant of the p -center problem, assigning a *relevant* subset of scenarios to at most K different solutions from Y . We solve the resulting problem by combining a row-and-column generation algorithm, binary search, preprocessing and efficient dominance rules.

3 Methodological development and algorithms

We first propose an extended formulation for a relaxation of problem (1). To this end, let $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ and $\Xi' = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_t\} \subset \Xi$. We use the notation $[r] = \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $[t] = \{1, \dots, t\}$. We introduce binary variables u_s and v_{sj} for $s \in [r]$ and $j \in [t]$, the former being equal 1 if and only if solution s is used, while the latter takes value 1 if and only if solution s is assigned to scenario j . We then write,

$$\min \quad \omega \tag{2a}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \omega \geq \sum_{s \in [r]} g(y_s, \xi_j) v_{sj}, \quad \forall j \in [t] \tag{2b}$$

$$\sum_{s \in [r]} v_{sj} = 1, \quad \forall j \in [t] \tag{2c}$$

$$\sum_{s \in [r]} u_s \leq k, \tag{2d}$$

$$v_{sj} \leq u_s, \quad \forall j \in [t], s \in [r] \tag{2e}$$

$$u, v \geq 0 \text{ integer.} \tag{2f}$$

This formulation is equivalent to the vertex p -center problem, that can be efficiently solved to optimality using binary search, coupled with a covering formulation and dominance rules [4].

We next present a row-and-column generation approach based on (2), where at each iteration *relevant* scenarios are added to this relaxation. To do so, let the optimal solution of (2) be given by $(\omega^*, \bar{u}, \bar{v})$. Then a separation problem can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} z^* = \max \quad & - \sum_{s \in [r]} \bar{u}_s \pi_s + \gamma \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \xi \in \Xi \\ & - \pi_s + \gamma \leq g(y_s, \xi), \quad s \in [r] \\ & \pi \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let the optimal value of this separation problem be denoted by z^* . If $z^* \geq \omega^*$ a scenario will be added to the formulation (2) by generating a new variable v_{sj} and a new constraint (2b). Otherwise, the optimal solution to (1) is found.

Numerical results showing the promise of this approach compared to the MILP approach of [5] will be presented.

Références

- [1] Dimitris Bertsimas and Constantine Caramanis. Finite adaptability in multistage linear optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 55(12) :2751–2766, 2010.
- [2] Christoph Buchheim and Jannis Kurtz. Min–max–min robust combinatorial optimization. *Mathematical Programming*, 163(1-2) :1–23, 2017.
- [3] André Chassein, Marc Goerigk, Jannis Kurtz, and Michael Poss. Faster Algorithms for Min–max–min Robustness for Combinatorial Problems with Budgeted Uncertainty. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2019.
- [4] Claudio Contardo, Manuel Iori, and Raphael Kramer. A scalable exact algorithm for the vertex p -center problem. *Computers & Operations Research*, 103 :211–220, 2019.
- [5] Grani A Hanasusanto, Daniel Kuhn, and Wolfram Wiesemann. K -adaptability in two-stage robust binary programming. *Operations Research*, 63(4) :877–891, 2015.
- [6] Anirudh Subramanyam, Chrysanthos E Gounaris, and Wolfram Wiesemann. K -Adaptability in Two-Stage Mixed-Integer Robust Optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv :1706.07097, 2017.